PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file refer	FOR FURTH		See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/GB2004/004152	International filin 29.09.2004	g date (dayimonthiyear)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.10.2003
International Patent Classifical G06F9/46	lion (IPC) or national classificatio	n and IPC	
Applicant SYMBIAN SOFTWARE	LIMITED et al.		
	rnational preliminary examina e 35 and transmitted to the a	ation report, established by	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.
2. This REPORT consis	sts of a total of 5 sheets, inclu	iding this cover sheet.	The control of the co
	companied by ANNEXES, co		
	plicant and to the Internationa		
and/or she	the description, claims and/or eets containing rectifications a ative Instructions).	drawings which have bee authorized by this Authority	n amended and are the basis of this report y (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
☐ sheets wh beyond th Suppleme	e disclosure in the internation	, but which this Authority c nal application as filed, as	onsiders contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
sequence listi	ternational Bureau only) a tot ng and/or tables related there to Sequence Listing (see Sec	to, in computer readable fo	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a orm only, as indicated in the Supplemental ive Instructions).
4. This report contains i	ndications relating to the follo	wing items:	
⊠ Box No. I Bar	sis of the opinion		
FT77	ority		
☐ Box No. III No	n-establishment of opinion wi	th regard to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV Lac	ck of unity of invention		
	asoned statement under Artic plicability; citations and explai		relty, inventive step or industrial atement
☐ Box No. VI Ce	rtain documents cited		
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international a		nal application	
☐ Box No. VIII Ce	rtain observations on the inte	rnational application	
Date of submission of the den	aand	Date of completion	of this report
27.07.2005		09.09.2005	
Name and mailing address of preliminary examining author	ty:	Authorized Officer	"John Fairen, Fairen,
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich		Müller, T	i same
Tet. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epinu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49	80 2300.
	UU TTUU	serephone No. +49	OG 2000*

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004152

	Box No. I Basis of the report	
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	wa
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	
	☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets where the have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):	hich 's
	1-10 as originally filed	. g.d
	Claims, Numbers 1-10 as originally filed	
 10.4%	☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos.	
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	w le
	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs	
	 ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 	
	* If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004152

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 2-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims Claims

No:

1-10

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Used Documents

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: US-A-5 963 982 (GOLDMAN PHILLIP Y) 5 October 1999 (1999-10-05)
- D2: CAVALIERI S ED INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS: "Exploring real-time features of java VM" IECON-2002. PROCEEDINGS OF THE 28TH. ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE IEEE INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS SOCIETY. SEVILLA, SPAIN, NOV. 5 8, 2002, ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE IEEE INDUSTRIAL ELECTRONICS SOCIETY, NEW YORK, NY: IEEE, US, vol. VOL. 1 OF 4. CONF. 28, 5 November 2002 (2002-11-05), pages 2538-2543, XP010633111 ISBN: 0-7803-7474-6
- DE 100 40 241 A (GIESECKE & DEVRIENT GMBH) 22 March 2001 (2001-03-22)
- 2. The person skilled in the art is aware of documents D1 to D3 because they are from the same technical field as the present application, namely memory defragmentation.

Objections regarding Novelty

- 3. Document D1 is regarded as closest prior art. It discloses in the original wording of independent claim 1 (reference to the closest prior art is made in square brackets; the original wording of the claim is set in italic font; features not explicitly disclosed in the prior art are set strikeout) a method of managing memory resource in a computing device, the method comprising using a thread of operating system code [D1, col. 4, lines 35 to 42; discloses a "defragmentation process" which is obviously part of the system's operating system; a thread and a process are the same in the context of the present application] which is arranged to run when no other thread is ready to run to initiate defragmentation of data held in memory resource in the computing device [D1, col. 3, lines 46 to 56 and Fig. 2, system running idle implies that no thread is running].
- 4. Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new and thus this claim is not allowable, Article 33 (2) PCT. Should the applicant be able to identify minor differences or amend the claim by such differences which overcome the above novelty

objection, then still the claim cannot be considered to be allowable for lack of inventive step, Article 33 (3) PCT.

Objections regarding Inventive Step

- 5. The subject matter of dependent claims 2 to 8 does not seem to involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT because it appears that above-mentioned dependent claims add only features which are merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances and in particular in the light of documents D1 to D3, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problems posed.
- 6. The objections raised for claims 1 to 8 apply, mutatis mutandis, to claim 9 and 10.

Concluding Remarks

- 7. The applicant may file a new set of claims clarifying the subject-matter protection is sought for.
- 8. An explanation relating to the problem solved by the features distinguishing the new independent claims from the present independent claims and the prior art as well as relating to the essence of said distinguishing features for obtaining the solution should be given.
- 9. Care should be taken that the new set of claims is supported by the description to comply with Article 34(2)(b) PCT, i.e. the amendments do not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed. Therefore, the applicant is requested to provide references to supporting passages in the description for the amended claims. Failure to do so may result in undiscovered supporting passages and consequently, the corresponding amendments have to be regarded violating Article 34(2)(b) PCT.